AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Adam James Fitzpatrick	Case No. 1:15-mj-00169-ESC
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under t defendant be detained pending trial.	he Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1)		described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of al offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 which the prison term is 10 years or	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for more.
	an offense for which the maximum s	sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum pri	son term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compa	
	any felony that is not a crime of viole a minor victim the possession or use o a failure to register unde	of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was conflocal offense.	ommitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4)		able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another at defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
(1)	•	
	for which a maximum prison term of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presur	mption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions bearance and the safety of the community.
,		Alternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant v	
(2)		will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		tement of the Reasons for Detention
	ting that the testimony and information sub-	mitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing t:
1. Defe	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing	
		- Directions Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States Condefendar	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable The defendant must be afforded a reasonal ourt or on request of an attorney for the Gov nt to the United States marshal for a court a	•
Date:	August 10, 2015 <i>Jι</i>	udge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge